

Clause and effect



Corporate entities need to take account of environmental issues, both for reputational reasons and also to deal with the increasing legislative burden, such as the Climate Change Act 2008 which creates obligations with regards to energy use. Jake Davies explains 'green' obligations in construction contracts.

Developers of new commercial space in England are increasingly placing contractual obligations on their design consultants and building contractors to ensure that new buildings are designed and built to comply not only with statutory requirements but also with voluntary standards. Whilst there may be a perception within the construction industry that such clauses were simply aspirational "green washing", to be accepted and ignored in much the same way as clauses relating to "partnering", "local employment" and "Year 2000 compliance", failure to meet objective and measurable standards can give rise to damages for breach of contract.

In England the "green standard" most commonly referred to in construction documentation is that established by the Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Methodology ("BREEAM").

What is a BREEAM clause?

The BREEAM standards are not statutory obligations that a development must comply with. According to its website BREEAM is "the leading and most widely used environmental assessment method for buildings. It sets the standard for best practice in sustainable design and has become the de facto measure used to describe a building's environmental performance."

Unlike building regulations, if a party wants the environmental standards that BREEAM

establishes to be met, the obligations must be contractually created.

Where a BREEAM clause differs from other aspirational building standards (such as "a high quality office development suitable for use by financial institutions") is that the Building Research Establishment will provide an assessor to identify whether or not the BREEAM standard specified in the contract has been reached.

BREEAM standards are "passed", "good", "very good", or "excellent", in connection with the various different BREEAM versions relating to different types of development. Clauses providing that consultants and contractors will design and build buildings to meet a specified BREEAM standard are increasingly common in building contracts and consultants' appointments.

Damages for breach of a BREEAM clause

Damages should easily be ascertainable for a breach of contract where market value is attached to environmental standards and where costs in connection with energy usage will increasingly be easy to demonstrate.

Diminished rental value for a building which has not hit specified environmental standards and even reputational damage could also give rise to loss.

Any developer should ensure that obligations owed to end users of buildings are backed down into building contracts

and/or consultant's appointments and any losses it suffers, insofar as they are "reasonably foreseeable", should then be recoverable from the professional team and / or contractor who had failed to meet the standards required by the end user.

Negotiating a BREEAM clause

As assessment of satisfaction of BREEAM standards is in the hands of a third party (a BREEAM assessor), consultants and/or contractors would be wise to seek to caveat any such obligation with a "reasonable endeavours" qualification.

An example of a clause which should be acceptable to consultants and building contractors is:

"The [consultant/building contractor] shall use reasonable endeavours to see that on completion the Works achieve a BREEAM rating of [insert desired rating] with regards to [insert relevant BREEAM scheme] and shall liaise with the Employer's BREEAM Assessor to facilitate its assessment of the Works."

A clause that creates an absolute obligation to obtain a certain level of environmental standard as assessed by a third party assessor should be resisted if possible. However clear the BREEAM schemes are, and however consistent the assessment process is applied, there is always a risk that the Employer's assessor will not accept that the relevant standard has been met.

Jake Davies is a Partner with Forsters LLP, and specialises in construction. jake.davies@forsters.co.uk